

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJECC_110922  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | “Effect of irrigation scheduling and different sowing dates on growth and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)” |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br><br>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br><br>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br><br>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br><br>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><br><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | 1. (Yes, this manuscript is relevant for scientific community as well as end user; because irrigation practice depends on agro-climatic condition, soil, crop and other management like irrigation interval etc. )<br>2. (The title is Appropriate)<br>3. Good, but minor tense rearrangement required<br>4. Minor correction is required on (section or subsection. I suggested basic commented on manuscript body. It is good if the authors. Scientific writing procedure especially on result section; this means that starting ANOVA (statistical test) following mean comparison, then finding justification (reasoning /finding explanation).<br><br>5. Good, but some correction is required on grammar cosmetics or sentence structure and word flow modification is necessary.<br>6. Reference scarcity under (Introduction and Result part). The author use many paragraph of other finding or report but they were not acknowledged/ cited introduction part. Under result only you (author) put only the result, similar work/others findings were important for scientific reasoning (compare and contrasting your finding)<br><br>❖ ADDITIONAL COMMENT<br><br>Conclusion part is not well written rewrite please |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | Minor Language quality improvement required in some part especially, wording and sentence coherence   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   |   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Jemal Nur Hassen                                 |
| Department, University & Country | Oromia Agricultural Research Institute, Ethiopia |