

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_EJNFS_111041  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Nutritional Knowledge, WASH Practices of Mothers and Their Impact on the Nutritional Status of Children Aged 6–59 Months in Cumilla District, Bangladesh |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | <p>The manuscript important for scientific community, because Nutritional problems are very important for public health, especially at vulnerable ages, one of which is children</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is quite comprehensive. But is it not necessary to add keywords?</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, starting from the abstract, introduction, to discussion and conclusion.<br/>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct because it is supported by many literature results<br/>The references are sufficient and recent, but you need to consider removing references that are more than 10 years old</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | <p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | <p>The manuscript is worthy for acceptance</p>   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Siti Mutoharoh                              |
| Department, University & Country | Universitas Muhammadiyah Gombong, Indonesia |