

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_111041
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Knowledge, WASH Practices of Mothers and Their Impact on the Nutritional Status of Children Aged 6–59 Months in Cumilla District, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The manuscript important for scientific community, because Nutritional problems are very important for public health, especially at vulnerable ages, one of which is children</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is quite comprehensive. But is it not necessary to add keywords?</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, starting from the abstract, introduction, to discussion and conclusion. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct because it is supported by many literature results The references are sufficient and recent, but you need to consider removing references that are more than 10 years old</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback. We have updated our manuscripts according to your correction. We have also added the keywords section.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is worthy for acceptance</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	