

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_110423
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological-clinical profiles of severely acutely malnourished children aged 6 to 59 months: cases of the Notre Dame des Apôtres hospital in N'Djamena (Chad)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) yes, it is scientific 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) yes, title is suitable 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes, but a comment was put to improve it 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, but some comments were put to improve it 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes, it is ok 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. It is better to use more references from the last 5 years <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. In the method section of the abstract, refer to the reviewed criteria for diagnosing wasting, edema and other outcomes, for example, height, weight and edema index. 2. In the introduction, the pathophysiology of malnutrition and its causes should be explained in one paragraph. 3. in data gathering section, What variables are collected and what is meant by clinical examinations, additional assessment and certain information? 4. What tests are used in data analysis? 5. Malnutrition diagnosis tool and how to classify it should be described in detail	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shima Hadavi
Department, University & Country	Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran