

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_110423
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological-clinical profiles of severely acutely malnourished children aged 6 to 59 months: cases of the Notre Dame des Apôtres hospital in N'Djamena (Chad)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) yes, it is scientific  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) yes, title is suitable  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Yes, but a commet was put to improve it  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Yes, but some commets were put to improve it  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> Yes, it is ok  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> It is better to use more references from the last 5 years  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<b>1. In the method section of the abstract, refer to the reviewed criteria for diagnosing waisting, edema and other outcomes, for example, height, weight and edema index.</b> <b>2. In the introduction, the pathophysiology of malnutrition and its causes should be explained in one paragraph.</b> <b>3. in data gathering section, What variables are collected and what is meant by clinical examinations, additional assessment and certain information?</b> <b>4. What tests are used in data analysis?</b> <b>5. Malnutrition diagnosis tool and how to classify it should be described in detail</b>	Okay  Okay
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	