

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_107783
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment food safety knowledge, hygienic practices and microbiological quality of halwa produced in Urban West Region, Zanzibar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. The result of manuscript can be used in food safety aspect of food production industry. 2. Assessment food safety knowledge, hygienic practices and microbiological quality of halwa produced in Urban West Region, Zanzibar. (the mentioned part should be changed, Halwa producers can meet the purpose of the authors. 3. The abstract should not exceed 300 words in length, (it currently is 341) 4. subsections and structure of the manuscript can be divided into numbered sections with brief headings 5. References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. 6. In abstract, the following sections are not specified as separate sections: Aims, Discussion and Conclusion as separate parts. 7. use a 12-point font for the text.	 For my understanding, if we replace the words halwa produced with words halwa producers, it's like we need to analyse producers' hand or clothes. I suggest to be read as it is The abstract has been summarised to 291 words The number has been considered in section and subsection The reference has been arranged as required If is not per your requirement, it's still ok without mentioning that on abstract, so as we can be within words limit in abstract The point font has been adjusted to 12
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	The language has been more improved in grammar
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	