

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_111150
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PROTECTIVE ROLES OF AQUEOUS SEED EXTRACT OF ANNONA MURICATA IN CEREBELLUM FOLLOWING CADMIUM-INDUCED NEUROTOXICITY OF ADULT WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1,3,4,5, and 6 were okay. But in 2, I suggest the author add English and scientific name of the seed or plant.	Noted
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The Language is not too bad for scholarly communication, but there are some grammatical errors, which can be corrected in shortest time.	Ok
Optional/General comments	The following were my general comments 1. The author needs to tell us about LD50 of the cadmium used in the rat before the commencement of the experiment. 2. The author also needs to show the percentage composition of the phytochemical to know which one is responsible for its effects. 3. What are the observed clinical signs during the administration of the cadmium and the extract? 4. The author also needs to explain the route of administration of the cadmium and the extract to the rat. 5. How do you restrain the rat during the experiment? 6. Your error bars should either be in small letters or other signs. 7. Generally your Tables need to be improved upon.. 8. Please revisit your histological slides.	Done

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	