

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRMPS_111150
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PROTECTIVE ROLES OF AQUEOUS SEED EXTRACT OF SOUR-SOP ( <i>ANNONA MURICATA</i> ) IN CEREBELLUM FOLLOWING CADMIUM-INDUCED NEUROTOXICITY OF ADULT WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	Peer Review

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<b>Yes, this manuscript very relevant for scientific community, study will provide information regarding ill effects of cadmium, especially to those workers who gets exposed in industries. Study throughs a light about minimizing the toxicity of cadmium</b>  <b>Yes, Its appropriate</b>  <b>Yes,it is</b>  <b>Subsections were given</b>  Manuscript is scientifically correct  References are sufficient, but didn't put page numbers in few references Reference numbers need to be put	Agreed  Agreed  Agreed  Agreed  Agreed  Reference number reviewed
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is well written.	Agreed
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript is little lengthy, can reduce the introduction part. Page numbers to mentioned in all references Spelling Mistake-40 healthy adult male <b>wister</b> rats weighing from 150- 250g	Lengthy introduction reduced and spelling mistake corrected, wistar rats weighing 200±50g

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Non