

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_110999
Title of the Manuscript:	COMMON MOTHS OF BISRA RANGE, ROURKELA FOREST DIVISION, ODISHA, INDIA, AND THEIR ECOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Comments to the author:</b>  - The "state of the art" presented needs to be improved regarding the moth's ecological and economical importance worldwide and in the regions.  - Introduction is brief (with very short paragraphs; some can be combined).  - Methods, including statistics, lack details.  - Please explain the choice of the study areas regarding the moths' distribution area and impact in the region comparing to rest of India.  - Study area should be improved providing information on the precise location of the sites and basic climate conditions, such as average, minimum and maximum air temperatures of the months when the study was made.  - How many visits were made to each site ? The area covered in each visit was constant in each visit and the same for the sites ? Provide the dates of the visits.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zineb Messaadia
Department, University & Country	University Batna 1, Algeria