Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJOB_110999**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMMON MOTHS OF BISRA RANGE, ROURKELA FOREST DIVISION, ODISHA, INDIA, AND THEIR ECOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE**
Type of the Article	**Article research (If the proposed improvements are adopted)**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**This is a topic with great importance for entomology researcher especially those working on moths; which did not benefit of sufficient studies; so this manuscript is a good attribute to researchers in this domain.** **The title would be more clear if reformulated as follows** **"COMMON MOTHS AND THEIR ECOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE IN BISRA RANGE, ROURKELA FOREST DIVISION, (ODISHA; INDIA)"** **Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.** **Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate; but it is better to change order between paragraphs in the Introduction (as suggested in the manuscript). In the methodology there is a little information about traps and capture (additional information is needed). In Results and Discussion more details 'data' about collected species numbers are needed as well as some references for the discussion of this part.** **Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct but it needs some improvements.** More than half of the cited references date back to less than 6 years; so this is worthy. However more references are needed to discuss moths species being **defoliators, stem borer** of different plants species **and parasitic fungus** (as mentioned in the manuscript)	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	In general English language is acceptable.	
Optional/General comments	**Key word:** most used key words did not point out the main subject of the manuscript so some key words are proposed as being more relevant to the topic (given in the manuscript).	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Bengouga Khalila**
Department, University & Country	**CRSTRA, Algeria**