

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_111495
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating the Virtual Linguistic Landscape: A Multifaceted Journey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, this article is very important for community research studies. This study is very useful in the study of the virtual linguistic landscape</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive. However, it is better to point out the theoretical methodology used in the article and the findings and discussion.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. This article is accepted and worthy, but this article must add previous research about Virtual Linguistic Landscapes to support this research, research gap, then theories about linguistic landscapes, examples of virtual linguistic landscapes, it would be better to use images so that the data is valid, methodology and conclusions have not been presented in this article.</p> <p>6. No. This bibliography is very small, added 20 more bibliographies in the last 5 years of research. I suggest to use Gorter's theory; Backhaus, ; BenRafael et al, ; Cenoz and Blommaer were added to this study</p>	<p>edited</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	