

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_110175
Title of the Manuscript:	ESL teachers' perception towards the use of communicative language teaching approach in senior high schools' in Ghana: The case of Wa municipality.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. This research can be a global reference. The situation that occurred where the research took place was also experienced by English teachers in various countries, especially those who teach ESL and EFL. This research will have more impact if it is supported by the latest references. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. In the literature review subsection point 1.2.1. Communicative Language Approach (CLT) needs to be made more focused on the implementation of CLT based on scientific investigations. Types of CLT such as strong version and weak version and their implementation in English language learning need to be discussed in this section. 6. There are sufficient references in this article, approximately 70-80 references. Unfortunately, most of these references are not recent. It is suggested for the author to cite up to date sources especially those related to the finding of previous study on CLT.	Okay Okay
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author needs to read the manuscript carefully before resubmitting it. A few mistyping occurred in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	I don't think the findings are presented based on the method mention previously. The findings section shows the results of qualitative data processing using SPPSS but not qualitative data presented such as data from interviews or observations as well as the processing. In the methodology section, the author should explain in detail the thematic analysis, the steps taken, and the references used.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	