

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_111439
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantifying Social Flourish: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-Economic Status Impact on Social Skills Development in Secondary School Students in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		Noted
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it contributes valuable insights into the intricate relationship between socio-economic factors and social skills development among secondary school students in Sri Lanka. The use of a comprehensive sample size, rigorous quantitative survey design, and statistical analyses, including Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA), enhances the robustness of the study's findings. The study's emphasis for future research and interventions aimed at creating enriching educational experiences globally.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. The title is suitable, as it clearly communicates the focus and scope of the research. you may consider a title that is both concise and captures the essence of your research.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It effectively summarizes the key elements of the research, including the research focus, sample size, methodology, major findings, and implications. Ensure that the abstract provides a clear snapshot of the research for readers.	Ok
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	4. The manuscript has a well-organized structure with clear subsections	Done
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, clear and comprehensive	Noted
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	6. Would recommend to add references of more recent literature of past 5 years may influence the comprehensiveness of the manuscript.	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The text is well-structured, and the language used is formal and academic. Kindly proofread the manuscript for language and formatting consistency	
Optional/General comments	<p>The current title is descriptive, but you may consider a title that is both concise and captures the essence of your research.</p> <p>Ensure that the abstract provides a clear snapshot of the research for readers.</p> <p>Consider connecting your results to real-world applications and suggesting potential interventions in discussion section</p> <p>Remember to carefully proofread the manuscript for language and formatting consistency.</p> <p>Overall, it's a well-constructed and valuable contribution to the discourse on socio-economic influences on student education in Sri Lanka.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	