

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_111389
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Indigenous Technological Knowledge (ITK) for Plant Disease management in Tamil Nadu.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is very important to the scientific community. The methods used to control pests may assist other communities across the globe.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>Not comprehensive. It sounds like an introduction. It does not state population and sampling procedure, the methodology used, results, conclusions and recommendations.</p> <p>The structure is appropriate.</p> <p>I think it is scientifically correct though there is need to validate the methods described therein.</p> <p>Most sources/ references are older than 10 years, though the references are sufficient.</p> <p>The author needs to work on the abstract and improve it.</p>	All the corrections made and included in the revised manuscript
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is need for revisions especially sentence constructions as suggested by reviewer on the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	With cited corrections, the manuscript can be scholarly presentable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	