

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_111619
Title of the Manuscript:	Measurement business environment, Entrepreneurial Orientation And Innovation Capability Confirmatory Factor Analysis Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, the manuscript analyses the topic interesting for the scientific community.</p> <p>The title is ok. However, it needs some adjustments: Measurement of Model business Environment, Entrepreneurial Orientation and Innovation Capability via Confirmatory Factor Analysis Approach.</p> <p>Yes, it contains the description of the problem, aim of the study, methods of investigation, obtained results.</p> <p>Yes, they are logical and sequential.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is written in accordance with the traditions in scientific writing.</p> <p>The references are relevant. But they are very old. The latest reference refers to 2014. Today is 2024.</p>	<p>Ok, thank you. Ok, thank you. We carry out research before testing the hypothesis to test how much the indicators of the variables can contribute which is also important for further research</p> <p>Ok, I agree to be adjusted and I put a yellow mark in the reviewed article</p> <p>OK, Thanks</p> <p>OK, Thanks</p> <p>OK, Thanks</p> <p>I will fix the reference year to at least 10 years and I put a yellow mark in the reviewed article</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript would benefit from the language check.	Ok, thanks
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Ok thanks, I add there is no conflict of interest in this article