

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_111454
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF FACTORS INFLUENCING THE LEVEL OF WOMEN'S PARTICIPATION IN DEVELOPMENT PLANNING IN LINTONGNIHUTA DISTRICT, HUMBANG HASUNDUTAN REGENCY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, this manuscript very important for scientific community. Women's participation in community development projects is now critical since it promotes inclusive development and decentralized development planning;</li> <li>2. Yes, it's suitable but it's too long-winded. This needs to be concise as well as informative. I have an alternative title: "A Study of the Factors Influencing the Level of Women's Participation in Development Planning: A Case Study in Lintongnihuta District of the HumbangHasundutan Regency";</li> <li>3. The abstract of this article is not comprehensive. The comprehensive abstract contains at least four sections including: (a) objective; (b) design or methodology; (c) results; and (d) conclusions. This style enables a reader to easily find the relevant information;</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not yet organized and structured. Introduction chapter: describes the problem and identifies gaps in knowledge. Can be accompanied by supporting data and several research gaps by quotations. It also rationalizes your research. Literature review chapter: several theories or references that will be used in the discussion because literature can place your research in context with current knowledge;</li> <li>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct;</li> <li>6. The lack of current references. Still need to add references and citations</li> </ol> <p>Additional comments: There needs to be additional background in the introduction section regarding the importance or urgency of the level of women's participation in development planning in Lintongnihuta District. In addition, there needs to be argument based on in-depth independent research, several references or current theories as supporting theories for each finding in the results and discussion chapter.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Did you achieve your objectives?</li> <li>2. How do your results compare to other studies?</li> <li>3. How do you determine the independent and dependent variable?</li> <li>4. How did you formulate the questions in questionnaire?</li> <li>5. In methodology section, you should describe the procedures for conducting the analysis of the data.</li> </ol>	Ok
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>There are still many grammatical errors. Solicit the assistance of colleagues or professional editing companies to assist with the editing and proofreading to ensure that your manuscript is structured correctly, clearly written, contains the correct technical language.</p>	Ok
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	