

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_111224
Title of the Manuscript:	Parental Income's Impact on Student Participation in Lesson Activities for Equitable Educational Outcomes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, for point 1-6.</p> <p>Additional comments;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Originality: This paper is about already a well-researched but interesting topic. The current form of the manuscript has exhibited minor shortcomings which impairs the overall quality of the study. I suggest you to state explicitly what the problem statement of this study is instead of writing 'By exploring this relationship, it hoped to contribute to the ongoing efforts to promote equitable education and bridge the gap in educational outcomes.....'. This statement needs to be written in the form of objective as to study a more inclusive and fair educational system. It should be based on the research gaps which have been identified from the lit.</li> <li>2. Relationship to Literature: The author(s) should also add some updated (2022-2023) relevant papers to the research. In my opinion, the development of the research problem needs some explanations; thus, more references should be added to support the research goals (as stated in the paper).</li> <li>3. Methodology: The methodology is clear and the methods appropriated. Language can be refined adding more clarity towards adopted methods.</li> <li>4. Results: The results are clearly presented. The discussion of the results include important issues like, low parent income, low level of parent education, lack of parental support, parents do not visit schools to discuss their children's education and lack of parental involvement in their children's education.</li> </ol> <p>The author can work on structure and flow more.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Quality of Communication: I found the manner of expression in this presentation a bit problematic. It seems that the author(s) used local English and not Standard English which makes it sometimes difficult to figure out. It affects readability. At some places it is difficult to follow the arguments. The paper requires more linguistic editing, such as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Text referencing and style of referencing- As per journal's guidelines</li> <li>2. Remove ambiguity, break large sentences into smaller one.</li> <li>3. Page No. 2, third last para, typographical error in year, '2108' is written instead of 2018....</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Implications for research, practice and/or society: The implications section is missing. I suggest to add some theoretical and practical implications as contributions of the study. They should be aligned with the premises presented in the introduction and needs to be separated from the conclusion.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jyoti Verma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chandragupt Institute of Management, India</b>