Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_111205
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Microstrip Antenna Arrays with Diverse Feeding Techniques
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		,
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	The paper is well-written in general. The efficiency characteristics of the antennas should be included. Quality of figures should be improved.	
(1 loads while few conteness on the managerity)	A comparison of the return loss for different design arranged should be considered in a single	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	figure.	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	Consider including the following suggested papers that could potentially enhance the comprehensiveness and quality of your paper.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
	"Circularly polarized microstrip slot antenna with a pair of spur-shaped slits for WLAN applications,"	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett., vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 756–759, 2015.	
E. De veu think the manuscript is esigntifically segrent?	"A Jug-Shaped CPW-Fed Ultra-Wideband Printed Monopole Antenna for Wireless Communications	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Networks," <i>Applied Sciences</i> , vol. 12, no. 2, p. 821, Jan. 2022. "Compact triple-band S-shaped monopole diversity antenna for MIMO applications," <i>Applied</i>	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	Computational Electromagnetics Society (ACES) Journal, vol. 28, pp. 975-980, 2015.	
additional references, please mention in the review form.	"A new design of small square monopole antenna with enhanced bandwidth by using cross-shaped	
additional references, please mention in the review form.	slot and conductor-backed plane," <i>Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett.</i> , Vol. 54, 2656–2659, 2012.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide	"New multi-standard dual-wideband and quad-wideband asymmetric step impedance resonator	
additional suggestions/comments)	filters with wide stop band restriction." <i>Int J RF Microw Comput Aided Eng.</i> 2019.	
	"A Compact mmWave MIMO Antenna for Future Wireless Networks," Electronics, vol. 11, no. 15,	
	p. 2450, 2022.	
	"Design of a Tri-Band Wearable Antenna for Millimeter-Wave 5G Applications," Sensors, vol. 22,	
	no. 20, p. 8012, Oct. 2022.	
	"UWB small slot antenna with WLAN frequency band-stop function," Electronics Letters, vol. 49,	
	1317–1318, 2013.	
	"Application of protruded strip resonators to design an UWB slot antenna with WLAN band-notched	
	characteristic," <i>Progress in Electromagnetics Research C</i> , vol. 47, 111-117, 2014.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly	Yes	
communications?		
Ontional/One and accordants		
Optional/General comments	NI/A	
	N/A	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naser Parchin
Department, University & Country	UK

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)