Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_111529
Title of the Manuscript:	CAMEL RATING MODEL AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF DEPOSIT TAKING SAVINGS AND CREDIT COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES IN KENYA: THE MODERATING EFFECT OF GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct
		the manuscript and highlight that part in the
		manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		,
	1.Yes, it very important since it try to evaluate application of CAMEL MODEL	
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)		
	2. The TITLE lacks Conciseness:you can adopt these based on your preference- "CAMEL Rating,	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?	Financial Performance, and the GDP Moderation: A Study of Deposit-Taking S&CCs in Kenya" or	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	moderating effect of gross domestic product on the relationship between CAMEL rating model and	
(1)	financial performance of Deposit Taking SACCOs in Kenya	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The same of the sa	
	3. This abstract lack the basic component of a professional abstract, objectives, value of the	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	study, where was data collected from and why etc are missing, problem of the study is not	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.	demonstrated here	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	4.yes	
J. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct:		
6 Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	5.yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	6.yes	
additional references, please mention in the review form.	7. The head was and of the at adviserable days lead as a sistematic and stalling as a head began a sheet	
(Amont from the comment of the continuous of the	7. The background of the study is poorly done lack consistency, only telling us about kenya-check	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide	my comments? what is overview of SACCO globally and even regionally	
additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
	No, revise and check grammatical errors	
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		
	The article is good but, background is poorly done, findings and discussion is not complete no finding before moderation, i.e results of relationship between CAMEL MODEL and financial performance is missing	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abraham Mutitu Kiruga
Department, University & Country	Kenya Institute of Management, Kenya

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)