

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_110934
Title of the Manuscript:	An Epidemiologic Survey on the Causes of Infertility, Prevalence of Obesity, and Mental Status of Infertile Females Attending the Infertility Center in Azadi Teaching Hospital in Kirkuk City, Iraq
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Research on infertility is crucial for understanding the complex factors contributing to reproductive health challenges, facilitating the development of effective interventions, and ultimately improving the well-being of individuals and couples struggling with fertility issues. Such studies contribute valuable insights that inform medical practices, public health policies, and support systems for those affected by infertility.</p> <p>Investigating Infertility Causes, Obesity Rates, and Mental Status at Azadi Teaching Hospital in Kirkuk City, Iraq"</p> <p>Introduction can focus on problem statement, result may include only the key findings and implications of the study</p> <p>The manuscript needed better organisation in order to make the text easier to follow by the readers.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The introduction majorly focuses on causes, so I propose the authors to add some information to limelight the need for the study with relevant references.</p>	<p>very grateful for these comments, we did find these comments helpful and improve the quality of the manuscript. We have agreed to address all these changes and we highlighted the changes in the attached manuscript.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Need better formulation of phrases.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Sample size is different in the abstract and results. Need more explanation on what is HADS test and why it is employed in the study with appropriate references. There is a conflict in paragraph 3 in results and table 3. The author can explain the implications instead of put in writing what already can be perceived from the table data. Why the p value is not in align with table data, and looks like forcibly added?</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	