

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_110554
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL INVESTIGATION AND TOXICOLOGICAL INSIGHTS OF CASSIA SIEBERIANA LEAF EXTRACT: IMPLICATIONS FOR MEDICINAL USE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes. The introduction part is wanting. Yes, the title is suitable. Yes. However, the discussion part is suggested to be removed. No. The methods on plant extraction and acute toxicity were not described. No. It is not clear if author(s) used aqueous extract or methanol. Author(s) should consult more recent literature.	Corrected Describing all the Method will make the work too bulky, we are okay with brief Methods provided
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, there is a need for improvement.	Corrected
Optional/General comments	The contents of the manuscript are important to the scientific community, however, it is not well written. The introduction and discussion need major improvement. Spelling and grammatical errors should be avoided. Author(s) should either choose to write the formulas of chemical compounds or in full, particularly at the first time of writing. The in text citations are not consistent and uniform. The same with the references. Author(s) write CASSIA SIEBERIANA as C sieberiana many times, it should be <i>C. sieberiana</i>	Corrected

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	