

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_109921
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of explants type, nodal positions and two cytokinins on cassava (Manihot esculenta, Crantz) in vitro organogenesis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1. Yes, it is        2. Yes, it is        3. Yes, it is        4. Yes, they are        5. Yes, I do think        6. Yes, the references are sufficient but still need to be recent	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  1. Yes,, it is	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>In Introduction section:</b> the objective should be clearly stated.  <b>In Result section:</b> It will be better to complete the notes in the Table 1. Please change DDL to DF (degree of freedom), what is LR? The same change is also write down for Table 2.  In Result section, Table 1 and 2: the mark for interaction between two treatments will be better using (x), as Genotypes*duration*Nodal position will be changed to Genotype x duration x Nodal position, etc  <b>In Discussion section:</b> Please explain why the younger node is not earlier sprouted?  <b>In References section:</b> [21] Gubis J, Lajchová Z, Fragó J, Jureková Z. Effect of explant type on shoot regeneration in tomato ( <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill.) in vitro. Czech. J. Plant. Breed. 2003 ; 39(1): 9-14. ( <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill.) should be changed to italic such as: ( <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill.)	Thank you, the document have been improved according to the reviewer comments.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	