

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111420
Title of the Manuscript:	First report of <i>Tetragonula calophyllae</i> Shanas & Faseeh 2019 (Apidae: Apini: Meliponini) from Goa state, India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The manuscript entitled “First report of <i>Tetragonula calophyllae</i> Shanas & Faseeh 2019 (Apidae: Apini: Meliponini) from Goa state, India” is an original research article that comprehensively explains the Morphometric comparison between <i>T. calophyllae</i> workers from Goa and Type series provided along with comments on the external nest entrance.</p> <p>Title is sound.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>It is suggested that two or more recent references can be added to support their findings.</p> <p>Figures can be improved, especially the figure 1 (C and D). The quality of figure 1 (C and D) is not up to the mark. Some spelling mistakes can be corrected, the scientific name of the specimen not spelled right on some occasions.</p>	<p>Two more recent references have been added.</p> <p>The figure 1 (C and D) have been replaced with better photographs. Spelling mistakes are rechecked and corrected. Also, scientific names are corrected.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	The language revision should be painstakingly done.	Every sentence has been rechecked and corrected accordingly, including the references

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	