## **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_111182
Title of the Manuscript:	A multicentric verification of hereditary and acquired thrombophilia coagulation assays
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<ol> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?         (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable?         (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> <li>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</li> </ol>	Yes. Like any other test, the thrombophilia panel test may have limitations. Disorders will affect the result and interpretation of the thrombophilia test. A multicentric verification of hereditary and acquired thrombophilia coagulation assays can be more reliable for the attending physician.  Yes.  Yes.  No, in the abstract, it is better to add the Background section. It is also better to write the results and discussion section separately.  Yes.  Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Requires little editing.	
Optional/General comments	In the discussion section, the results of the study should be compared with the results of similar studies. The discussion section is poorly written.	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

### **Review Form 1.7**

# Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reza Faraji
Department, University & Country	Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases Research Center, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Iran

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)