

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_110670
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Assessment of the Haematological Viability of Blood Under Different Storage Temperature in RSUTH Blood Bank In Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The study of blood viability plays a vital role in blood bank system, wherein the quality of blood leads to medical effectiveness. This type of study is quite important as it's depends on donors and the blood components.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of article is comprehensible.</p> <p>Structure &amp; subsections of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Manuscript is quite scientifically correct</p> <p>More recent references can be added ,say last 5 years</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Reviewer's comment- SATISFACTORY.</b> The feasibleness of blood viability under various storage temperatures could have been done /undertaken with respect to the hemostatic, blood and biochemical parameters	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>R. Priya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vels Institute of Science,Technology&amp; Advanced Studies, India</b>