

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_110670
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Assessment of the Haematological Viability of Blood Under Different Storage Temperature in RSUTH Blood Bank In Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.It is important to understand the effect of storage temperature on the haematological parameters of blood for patient care. The author studies and compares three levels of temperature. It is important to know for the scientific community. 2. Comparative Assessment of the Haematological Parameter Viability of Blood Components Under Different Storage Temperature in RSUTH Blood Bank In Port Harcourt, Nigeria. 3. In the results the data can be written in more simple language. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. A minimum of three references from the past three years should be added. A few references need to be corrected in Vancouver format. References with many authors' names can be concluded by using et. al. after five names.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but can be improved.	
Optional/General comments	An author can work more on conclusion part.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Padmakar Rajabhau Bardapurkar
Department, University & Country	Dr. D Y Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, India