

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_111049
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Presentation and Etiologic Diagnosis of Disorders of Sex Development in Children in Senegal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The author has attempted to highlight the various traits of DSD that could be helpful in the diagnosing process in his article. There are not much research in the particular topic. Hence this could be a vital information for the scientific community. But this work is more like a survey than an original research.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes it is concise and to the point but the conclusion needs revision. The main result of the study and how it can affect the diagnosis must be mentioned. “This can only be achieved if the management of DSD is supported by universal health insurance. “ please mention how this line is related to the study</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>needs more references especially in the introduction as it has been understood that the author had already done a thorough review of literature before starting with the research. The references have to be quoted after each sentence (lacking in both introduction and discussion)</p>	Ok
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Needs revision of sentence format, usage of certain words, spelling and grammar. I kindly recommend the usage of a digital platform to reevaluate and correct the same.</p>	Noted
Optional/General comments	<p>1. Kindly look into the grammar of the manuscript. Example: DSD for “Disorders of Sex Development” refer to situations where chromosomal, gonadal or anatomical sex is atypical. This line can be better written as DSD, or “Disorders of Sex Development,” refers to situations where chromosomal, gonadal, or anatomical sex is atypical.</p> <p>2. All abbreviations must be quoted as foot notes below tables.</p> <p>3. please substantiate why a multivariate analysis was done end mention the resources used to do it. P value must be described based on the null hypothesis and significant values must be highlighted (use * and italics)</p> <p>4. inclusion and exclusion criteria must be included</p> <p>5. ethical clearance must be obtained from institution and must be included in the first paragraph of the methodology</p> <p>6. the references have been numbered twice and does not follow the Vancouver style</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	