

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111354
Title of the Manuscript:	A Multiple Case Study on the Resilient Leadership in Integrated Schools: School Heads' Perspective in Focus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The introduction part is both clear and up to the point however it is better to add review literature regarding resilient leadership.</p> <p>The paper has conducted using qualitative research method, observation also mentioned on the methodology part. But I didn't see any finding from the observation of the study area</p> <p>The conclusion part is quite convenient and logical to the ideas that are elaborated in the paper</p> <p>Ideas are neatly discussed as per the order of each heading however the author should discuss the finding depending on the research questions raised.</p> <p>The references were all there.</p> <p>The references were all there. Even though I am not a language scholar, but the paper is very clear and understandable</p> <p><b>In my opinion better to edit some important issues and accept paper, because the topic is very interesting</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper has done a good job of sticking to the cohesion of ideas and topic; however, it is better to add the objectives of the study. Because objectives are the sources of the finding. And also, it is better to add review literature regarding resilient leadership.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Demelash Misgana Wase
Department, University & Country	Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology, India