

The Influence of Service Quality and Price on Customer Loyalty with Customer Satisfaction as an Intervening Variable (Study of Indomaret in Bandung Raya)

ABSTRACT

This study aims to examine the influence of service quality and price on customer loyalty, mediated by customer satisfaction in the Indomaret in Bandung Raya. Population in this study consisting of consumers who have shopped at Indomaret who is >17 years old and domiciled in Bandung Raya. The sample size for this research is 160 respondents, selected using convenience sampling. The data type utilized in this study is primary data collected through a survey strategy using online questionnaires, with a cross-sectional time horizon. The research utilises analytical techniques like validity and reliability assessments for instruments, tests for classical assumptions, hypothesis testing by multiple linear regression, and the Sobel test utilising an online Sobel calculator. The study is backed by the statistical software programme Social Science (SPSS) version 25. Among the nineteen research hypotheses put forward, empirical data support 9 in among them. The result show that customer satisfaction does not play a role as a mediated between the impact of service quality (tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy) and price on customer loyalty. In addition, the results of this research are useful for management which evaluates and similar minimarket industries in designing relevant strategies for improve for the quality of these attributes.

Keywords: [tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, price, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty]

1. INTRODUCTION

The modern retail industry in Indonesia has become a strategic industrial sector because of its large contribution to the national economy and can expand employment opportunities for the community. This industrial sector is also experiencing quite rapid development in line with Indonesia's relatively stable economic growth, large population, increasing per capita income and the development of more modern retail infrastructure . Based on Association data (2023), the number of modern retail outlets registered and owned by members of this association reached 36,915 units in 2019. This figure is estimated to reach 94.4 percent of the entire population of modern retail stores in Indonesia. It is recorded that in 2022, most modern retail

companies will experience significant growth in the number of outlets compared to the previous year [1]. Several factors that influence changes in consumer purchasing preferences are related to product availability, product price and product quality [2]. One of the big players in the Indonesian retail industry is Indomaret which is managed by PT. Indomarco Prismatama. According to indomaret.co.id (2023) at the end of 2019 the number of Indomaret outlets was 17,600 outlets and the target is that by the end of 2020 it will reach 18,600 outlets, which means the target is 1000 new outlets in 2020, and now Indomaret is growing very rapidly with the number of stores as of August 2023 there are 22,077. Of this amount, 30% are franchises, while 70% are company-owned. As reported by idxchannel.com (2023), Indomaret's sales turnover in 2022 will reach 100.37 trillion, this value is calculated to have grown by 10.78 percent compared to the company's realized revenue in 2021. Indomaret competes with other brands such as Alfamart, Alfamidi, Seven Eleven, Familymart, Ceria Mart, and others [3]. Even though retail conditions in Indonesia are improving, the Top Brand Index data for Indomaret retail is decreasing. The Top Brand Index table is as follows:

47

Tabel 1. Top Brand Indeks

NO.	BRAND	2020	2021	2022	2023
1.	ALFAMART	49,30%	47,50%	46,60%	45.60%
2.	INDOMARET	39,80%	38,70%	39,00%	37,80%
3.	FAMILYMART	-	-	9,20%	9,30%

Based on the results of the 2022 Top Brand Award, Indomaret is in second place in the minimarket category with an index value of 39.00%, while the results of the 2023 Top Brand Award, Indomaret is still in second place with an index value of 37.80%. Based on the table data, it can be seen that there was a decrease in the Indomaret index value of 1.2% (Top Brand Index, 2023). This phenomenon indicates a decline in Indomaret customer loyalty as a problem in this research. [4] Customer loyalty is purchasing behavior, while customer satisfaction is an attitude related to the possibility of customers making repeat purchases. Service quality has a positive effect on customer satisfaction [5]. As a result, customer satisfaction increases along with improvements in service quality. This research uses dimensions of service quality are as follows: tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy. In this research, the samples used were consumers who had shopped at Indomaret and were >17 years old, and located in Bandung.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

60

2.1 Service Quality

61

Service quality is the presentation of a product or service according to the applicable standards where the product is produced and its delivery is at least the same as what consumers expect and want [6]. Fulfillment of promises in service will relate to and reflect the Company's interests. The appearance and capability of physical facilities and infrastructure must be reliable, the condition of the surrounding environment is concrete evidence of the services provided by the service provider. Service quality has five dimensions, namely tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy [7]. The five main dimensions are: (i) tangibles describe physical facilities, equipment, and personnel appearance, (ii) reliability describes the ability of staff and the company to perform promised services reliably and accurately, (iii) responsiveness describes employees' willingness to help customers and provide prompt service, (iv) assurance, describes the knowledge and courtesy of employees

74

75 and their ability to inspire trust and confidence, and (v) empathy describes the individual care
76 and attention provided by the company to its customers [8].

77 **2.2 Price**

78 Price is a value (usually expressed in money) that must be sacrificed to be able to own, use
79 or consume goods and services in order to obtain satisfaction [9]. Pricing is a marketing
80 strategy that determines the occurrence of transactions between sellers and buyers.
81 Transactions will only occur if the price set on a product is agreed upon by the seller and
82 buyer. Therefore, companies that are able to set prices accurately will certainly obtain
83 satisfactory marketing results. Price is the amount of money charged for a product or service
84 that customers exchange for the benefits of owning or using product services [10]. Price
85 measures are: affordability, suitability for benefits, and competitive prices [11].

86 **2.3 Customer Satisfaction**

87 Customer satisfaction is the result of their evaluation of product performance when what they
88 consume meets or exceeds initial expectations, not only related to assessment but also related
89 to the attitudes and emotional feelings that consumers give after consuming [12]. Customer
90 satisfaction is a person's feeling of happiness or disappointment that is obtained from
91 comparing the perceived or actual performance of goods or services with expectations [13]. If
92 performance or experience falls short of expectations, customers are dissatisfied. Satisfaction
93 is a person's emotional response to something they are facing which shows feelings of liking
94 or disliking something [14]. There are 4 measures of customer satisfaction, namely:
95 expectation, performance, comparison and confirmation or disconfirmation [15].

96 **2.4 Customer Loyalty**

97 Customer loyalty is a customer's commitment to a brand, shop or supplier, based on a very
98 positive attitude and reflected in consistent purchases [16]. Customer loyalty is the intention
99 to repurchase products and services as a company goal [17]. Loyalty is a positive attitude and
100 consumer attachment that is based on feelings of liking, familiarity and pride in being a brand
101 user and buying it consistently, and shown by commitment to continue using [18]. There are
102 four types of customer loyalty measures, namely: (i) making regular repeat purchases, (ii)
103 making purchases across product and service lines), (iii) providing references to other people.
104 (refers others), and (iv) shows immunity to pull from competitors (demonstrates in immunity)
105 [19].

106 **2.5 Theoretical Frameworks And Hypotheses**

107 Based on previous research, customer satisfaction is positively influenced by tangible [20].
108 Customer satisfaction is positively influenced by reliability [21]. Furthermore, customer
109 satisfaction is positively influenced by responsiveness [22]. Customer satisfaction is positively
110 influenced by assurance [23]. Then, customer satisfaction is positively influenced by empathy
111 [24]. The statement above is used as a basis for determining the first hypothesis points a, b,
112 c, d and e as follows:

- 113 H1a: Tangibles have a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction.
- 114 H1b: Reliability has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction.
- 115 H1c: Responsiveness has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction.
- 116 H1d: Assurance has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction.
- 117 H1e: Empathy has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction.

118 Customer satisfaction is positively influenced by price [25]. The statement above is used as a
119 basis for determining the second hypothesis as follows:

120 H2: Price has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction.

121 Customer loyalty is positively influenced by customer satisfaction [26]. The statement above
122 is used as a basis for determining the third hypothesis as follows:

123 H3: Customer satisfaction has a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty.

124 Customer loyalty is positively influenced by tangibles [27]. Customer loyalty is positively
125 influenced by reliability [28]. Customer loyalty is positively influenced by responsiveness [29].
126 Customer loyalty is positively influenced by assurance. Furthermore, customer loyalty is
127 positively influenced by empathy [30]. The statement above is used as a basis for determining
128 the hypothesis for the four points a, b, c, d and e as follows:

129 H4a: Tangibles have a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty.

130 H4b: Reliability has a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty.

131 H4c: Responsiveness has a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty.

132 H4d: Assurance has a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty.

133 H4e: Empathy has a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty.

134 Customer loyalty is also positively influenced by price [31]. The statement above is used as a
135 basis for determining the fifth hypothesis as follows:

136 H5: Price has a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty.

137 Customer satisfaction plays a role in mediating tangibles on customer loyalty [32]. Customer
138 satisfaction plays a role in mediating reliability on customer loyalty [33]. Customer satisfaction
139 plays a role in mediating responsiveness towards customer loyalty [34]. Customer satisfaction
140 plays a role in mediating assurance on customer loyalty [35]. Customer satisfaction plays a
141 role in mediating empathy towards customer loyalty [36]. The above statement is used as a
142 basis for determining the hypothesis for the six points a, b, c, d and e as follows:

143 H6a: Customer satisfaction plays a role in mediating the influence of tangibles on
144 customer loyalty.

145 H6b: Customer satisfaction plays a role in mediating the effect of reliability on
146 customer loyalty.

147 H6c: Customer satisfaction plays a role in mediating the influence of responsiveness
148 on customer loyalty.

149 H6d: Customer satisfaction plays a role in mediating the effect of assurance on
150 customer loyalty.

151 H6e: Customer satisfaction plays a role in mediating the influence of empathy on
152 customer loyalty.

153 Customer satisfaction plays a role in mediating price on customer loyalty [37]. The statement
154 above is used as a basis for determining the seventh hypothesis as follows:

155 H7: Customer satisfaction plays a role in mediating price on customer loyalty.

Based on the relationships between variables described in the theoretical framework above, this is a manifestation of the conceptual model shown in the image below.




Fig. 1 Conceptual Framework

The conceptual model describes the relationship between service quality parameters (tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy), price and loyalty mediated by customer satisfaction at Indomaret, as seen in Figure 1.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Objectives, Approaches, And Strategies

This research uses quantitative techniques using surveys as the main research tool. The process of operationalizing variables and assessing the eight variables in this research was carried out using a likert scale. Participants indicated their level of agreement or disagreement with the items using a five-point likert scale, providing a rating from 1 to 5. Questionnaires were used to collect primary data. Assessment of the validity of each statement on the questionnaire was carried out using the product moment correlation approach, meanwhile reliability was evaluated using the cronbach's alpha coefficient measurement technique. This research uses convenience sampling as a sampling strategy, which is a type of nonprobability sampling. Convenience sampling is the collection of information from members of the population who agree to provide that information [38]. That way, anyone who agrees to provide the required information with the researcher, either directly or indirectly, can be used as a sample in this research if the respondent is suitable as a data source. This research has a minimum sample size referring to the ideas put forward by [39] which is supported by [40] where the sample size recommended by these experts is at least 10 times the number of research variables. Meanwhile, in this research, researchers applied a sample size of 20 times

191 the number of variables consisting of perceptions about tangibles, reliability, responsiveness,
192 assurance, empathy, price, customer satisfaction, and customer loyalty.

193 **3.2 Characteristics Of The Research Population**

194
195 This research will involve samples from the population of Indomaret customers who live in
196 Greater Bandung with an age range of >17 years. The age requirement is set based on the
197 assumption that they have sufficient cognitive capacity to be able to fill in the statements that
198 remain on the questionnaire. Apart from that, this age is included in the productive group [41].
199

200 **3.3 Data Processing And Analysis Methods**

201
202 According to [42], after the data was obtained through a survey using a questionnaire, the next
203 step was data processing which consisted of four stages, namely data coding, data entry, data
204 editing and data transformation. Data processing to analyze multiple regression researchers

used statistical program of science (SPSS) version 25. Meanwhile, to test the sobel test, researchers used an online sobel test calculator from [43].

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Respondent Profile

Based on the data presented in table 2, participants in this research are individuals who act as consumers. Age > 17 years and has also shopped at Indomaret 3 times in 2023, domiciled in Bandung Raya.

Table 2. Respondent Profile

No	Information	Sum	(%)
Age			
1	17 n/d 21	71	42.2%
2	22 n/d 26	62	36.9%
3	27 n/d 31	20	11.9%
4	32 n/d 40	10	6%
5	>40	5	3%
	Total	168	100%
Occupation			
1	Student	22	13.2%
2	Collerger Student	86	51.4%
3	Government Employees	9	5.4%
4	Businessman	21	12%
5	Private Sector Employee	17	10.2%
6	Other	13	7.8%
	Total	168	100%
Income/Month			
1	<RP. 1.500.000	92	54.5%
2	RP. 1.500.000 – RP. 5.000.000	48	29.1%
3	>RP. 5.000.000	28	16.4%
	Total	168	100%
Location			
1	BANDUNG CITY	38	23%
2	BANDUNG DISTRICT	18	10,9%
3	WEST BANDUNG REGENCY	37	21.2%
4	CIMAHI CITY	75	44.9%
	Total	168	100%
Have You Shopped More Than 3 Times At Indomaret In 2023?			
1	YES	165	98.2%
2	NO	3	1.8%
	Total	168	100%

4.2 Validity And Reliability Test

This study examines eight variables, namely tangibles (X1a), reliability (X1b), responsiveness (X1c), assurance (X1d), empathy (X1e), price (X2), customer satisfaction (Z) and customer loyalty (Y). Each of these variables comprises several indicators with measurements specified in the questionnaire statements. Prior to evaluating the findings, it is essential to conduct a validity and reliability test for the measurements of these eight variables. Using spss software version 25, the calculation for the validity and reliability tests yielded the following results.

Table 3. Validity And Reliability Test

NO	Statement	R calculate	Note	Cronbach's alpha	Note
<i>Tangibles</i>					
1.	In my opinion, Indomaret has attractive physical facilities.	0.770	Valid		
2.	In my opinion, Indomaret has complete equipment according to my expectations.	0.870	Valid	0.739	Reliable
3.	In my opinion, Indomaret employees have an attractive appearance.	0.789	Valid		
<i>Reliability</i>					
4.	In my opinion, Indomaret provides the service as promised.	0.803	Valid		
5.	In my opinion, Indomaret has employees who are reliable in solving customer problems.	0.903	Valid	0.785	Reliable
6.	In my opinion, Indomaret has employees who are accurate in providing service.	0.799	Valid		
<i>Responsiveness</i>					
7.	In my opinion, Indomaret has employees who always provide fast service to customers.	0.804	Valid		
8.	In my opinion, Indomaret has				Reliable

9.	employees who are always willing to help customers. In my opinion, Indomaret has employees who are always ready to respond to customer requests.	0.864	Valid	0.803	
		0.876	Valid		
<i>Assurance</i>					
10.	In my opinion, Indomaret has employees who are polite to customers.	0.691	Valid		
11.	In my opinion, Indomaret employees have in-depth knowledge.	0.846	Valid	0.685	Reliable
12.	In my opinion, Indomaret employees have the ability to inspire customer confidence.	0.808	Valid		
<i>Empathy</i>					
13.	In my opinion, Indomaret has employees who care about customers.	0.873	Valid	0.717	Reliable
14.	In my opinion, Indomaret has employees who care about customers.	0.893	Valid		
<i>Price</i>					
15.	In my opinion, Indomaret has affordable prices for all groups.	0.851	Valid		
16.	In my opinion, Indomaret has prices that match its benefits.	0.844	Valid	0.764	Reliable
17.	In my opinion, Indomaret has competitive prices when compared to competitors.	0.790	Valid		
<i>Customer Satisfaction</i>					
18.	I am happy that the service provided by				

	Indomaret is in line with expectations.	0.781	Valid		
19.	I feel happy that the performance provided by Indomaret is in line with expectations.	0.790	Valid	0.793	
20.	I feel happy with the service provided by Indomaret.	0.850	Valid		Reliable
21.	I feel happy that the decision in choosing to shop at Indomaret was right.	0.721	Valid		
<i>Customer Loyalty</i>					
22.	I am willing to repurchase at Indomaret regularly.	0.839	Valid		
23.	I am willing to buy other products at Indomaret.	0.839	Valid		
24.	I would recommend Indomaret to others.	0.784	Valid	0.842	Reliable
25.	I will remain loyal to shopping at Indomaret compared to other minimarkets.	0.841	Valid		

232

233

234 4.3 Classical Assumptions Test

235

236 This study will be subjected to testing employing two (2) variable techniques, particularly
 237 designed for the investigation of intervening factors. Consequently, the classical assumption
 238 test will be undertaken using these two (2) methodologies.

239

240 4.3.1 Test the classical assumption of intervening variables

241

242 **4.3.1.1 Equation 1**

243

244 Equation 1 uses a straightforward regression equation to look at how tangibles, reliability,
 245 responsiveness, assurance, empathy and price all play a role in customer satisfaction. These
 246 are the basic terms of the regression equation :

247

$$248 Y = a_1 + b_1 X_{1a} + b_2 X_{1b} + b_3 X_{1c} + b_4 X_{1d} + b_5 X_{1e} + b_6 X_2$$

249 Note :

250

251 Y = Customer Satisfaction

252 a = Constant

253 b = Coefficient of Increase of Bound Variables If there is An Increase of One Unit of
 254 Free Variables.
 255 X1a = Tangibles
 256 X1b = Reliability
 257 X1c = Responsiveness
 258 X1d = Assurance
 259 X1e = Empathy
 260 X2 = Price

261
 262 The findings of the classical assumption test, which includes tests for heteroskedasticity,
 263 multicollinearity, and normalcy, when applied to multiple regression analysis, are as follows :
 264

265 4.3.1.1.1 Normality test

266
 267 "With a significant value > (0.05), specifically a significance value of (0.200), it can be
 268 concluded from the analysis results presented in the table above that the data in equation 1
 269 follows a normal distribution".
 270

271 **Table 4. Normality test equation 1**

272

N		160
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	.000
	Std. Deviation	1.47211300
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.054
	Positive	.040
	Negative	-.054
Test Statistic		.054
Asymp. Sig. (1-tailed)		.200 ^{c,d}

273
 274 a. Test distribution is Normal, b. Calculated from data, c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.
 275 Source: SPSS Output Data Version 25 (2023)
 276

277 4.3.1.1.2 Heteroskedasticity test

278 The examination of the table indicates that there are no signs of heteroskedasticity in the data
 279 of equation 1, since the significant value > (0.05).

280 **Table 5. Heteroskedasticity test equation 1**

Coefficients ^a					
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	
(Constant)	1.611	.650		2.477	.014
tangibles	.030	.042	.065	.713	.477
reliability	-.065	.047	-.139	-1.395	.165
responsiveness	.007	.045	.014	.152	.879
assurance	-.018	.050	-.039	-.364	.716

empathy	-.040	.068	-.061	-.592	.555
price	.037	.042	.088	.886	.377

a. Dependent Variable: Abs

281

282 4.3.1.1.3 Multicholenierity test

283 The results from the table analysis indicate that the data in equation 1 is devoid of
284 multicollinearity, given that the tolerance values for the variables are > (0.1) and the VIF value
285 is < (10).

286 **Table 6. Multicholenierity test equation 1**

Variable	Collinearity Statistic	
	Tolerance Value	VIF
Tangibles	.757	1.322
Reliability	.638	1.567
Responsiveness	.733	1.364
Assurance	.550	1.817
Empathy	.600	1.667
Price	.640	1.562

287 a. Dependent Variable: customer satisfaction

288

289 **4.3.1.2 Equation 2**

290 The hypothesis is to evaluate the significant link between tangibles, reliability, responsiveness,
291 assurance, empathy, price and customer satisfaction with customer loyalty. The basic
292 regression equation is as follows :

$$293 \quad Z = a_2 + b_7 X_{1a} + b_8 X_{1b} + b_9 X_{1c} + b_{10} X_{1b} + b_{11} X_{1c} + b_{12} X_2 + b_{13} Y$$

294 Note :

295 Z = Customer Loyalty

296 Y = Customer Satisfaction

297 a = Constant

298 b = Coefficient of Increase of Bound Variables If there is An Increase of One Unit of
299 Free Variables.

300 X_{1a} = Tangibles

301 X_{1b} = Reliability

302 X_{1c} = Responsiveness

303 X_{1d} = Assurance

304 X1e = Empathy
 305 X2 = Price
 306

307 The classical assumption test conducted on the multiple regression analysis includes
 308 assessments for normality, heteroskedasticity, and multicollinearity. The study's findings
 309 regarding the classical assumption test are as follows :

310 4.3.1.2.1 Normality test

311 The results of the study can be seen in the table above; a significance value of (0.200) >
 312 (0.05), indicating that the data in equation 2 follows a normal distribution.

313 **Table 7. Normality test equation 2**
 314

N		160
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	.000
	Std. Deviation	1.80555244
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.038
	Positive	.030
	Negative	-.038
Test Statistic		.038
Asymp. Sig. (1-tailed)		.200 ^{c,d}

315
 316 *Test distribution is Normal, b. Calculated from data, c. Lilliefors Significance Correction. Source: SPSS*
 317 *Output Data Version 25 (2023)*

318 4.3.1.2.2 Heteroskedasticity test

319 "The analysis results from the table lead to the conclusion that, in the data of equation 2, there
 320 are no indications of heteroskedasticity, as evidenced by the significant value being > (0.05)".

321 **Table 8. Heteroskedasticity test equation 2**

Spearman's rho	Variable	Unstandardized Residual	
		Correlation Coefficien	Sig
	Tangibles	.029	.357
	Reliability	.007	.466
	responsiveness	.006	.471
	Assurance	-.012	.442
	Empathy	.008	.461
	Price	-.044	.291

Customer
satisfaction -.017 .414

322 a. Dependent Variable: Abs_RES2 Source: SPSS Output Data Version 25 (2023)

323 4.3.1.2.3 Multicholenierity test

324 According to the results from the table analysis, it can be asserted that the data in equation 2
325 is devoid of multicollinearity, as indicated by tolerance values for the variables being > (0.1)
326 and the VIF value being < (10).

327 **Table 9. Multicholenierity test equation 2**

Variable	Collinearity Statistic	
	Tolerance Value	VIF
Tangibles	.757	1.322
Reliability	.634	1.587
Responsiveness	.654	1.530
Assurance	.525	1.904
Empathy	.580	1.723
Price	.607	1.647
Customer Satisfaction	.504	1.985

328 a. Dependent Variable: customer loyalty

329 **4.3.3 Results of multiple regression analysis with intervening variables**

330 ***4.3.3.1 Multiple regression analysis equation 1's***

331 "Drawing conclusions from the analysis results displayed in the graph, it can be concluded
332 that consumer satisfaction (Z) is significantly influenced by the variables responsiveness
333 (X1c), guarantee (X1d), empathy (X1e), and price considering the significance value is <0.05.
334 As a result, H1c n/d H2 is accepted. The results of this research are in line with research
335 conducted [44] which states that responsiveness, guarantee, empathy and price have a
336 significant effect on customer satisfaction".

337 **Table 10. Multiple regression test results equation 1**

Coefficients^a					
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	

(Constant)	3.568	1.133		3.149	.002
X1a	-.001	.073	-.001	-.018	.986
X1b	-.086	.082	.076	1.058	.292
X1c	.340	.079	.289	4.316	.000
X1d	.233	.086	.209	2.703	.008
X1e	.269	.118	.169	2.277	.024
X2	.212	.073	.207	2.889	.004

a. Dependent Variable: Z

338

339 4.3.3.2 Multiple regression analysis equation 2's

340 "From the analysis results depicted in the graph, it can be concluded that customer loyalty (Y)
 341 is influenced positively and significantly by the variables responsiveness (X1c) and price (X2),
 342 which is indicated by their significance value. $< (0.05)$. As a result, H4c and H5 are accepted.
 343 The findings of this research are in line with the research conducted. [Herawati at al, 2021]
 344 which states that responsiveness and price have a significant positive effect on customer
 345 loyalty. However, H4a, H4b, H4d, and H4e were ignored, this is proven by the results of the
 346 analysis on the graph, where the significance value for tangibles (X1a), reliability (X1b),
 347 assurance (X1d) and empathy (X1e) is $> (0.05)$. which shows that this variable does not have
 348 a significant influence on the customer loyalty variable (Y). This research deviates from the
 349 results of research conducted by [45]"

350

Table 11. Multiple regression test results equation 2

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.704	1.439		1.880	.062
	X1a	.162	.090	.125	1.793	.075
	X1b	-.141	.101	-.106	-1.395	.165
	X1c	.258	.103	.189	2.515	.013
	X1d	.154	.109	.118	1.414	.159
	X1e	.149	.148	.081	1.010	.314
	X2	.423	.093	.356	4.574	.000
	Z	.129	.099	.111	1.298	.196

a. Dependent Variable: Y

351

352

353

354

355 4.3.3.3 Sobel test

356 "Based on the test findings of H6a n/d H7, it shows that customer satisfaction is not successful
 357 in mediating the influence of tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy and
 358 price on customer loyalty. This is shown from the analysis carried out using the Sobel
 359 calculator, where the significance value is $> (0.05)$. The results of this study are not in line with
 360 previous research".

361
 362
 363

Tabel. 12 Result Sobel Test

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HYPOTHESIZED VARIABLES	A	B	S _A	S _B	T calculate
<i>Customer satisfaction</i> → <i>tangibles</i> → <i>customer loyalty</i>	-0.001	0.129	0.073	0.099	-0.01369
<i>Customer satisfaction</i> → <i>reliability</i> → <i>customer loyalty</i>	0.086	0.129	0.082	0.099	0.81701
<i>Customer satisfaction</i> → <i>responsiveness</i> → <i>customer loyalty</i>	0.340	0.129	0.079	0.099	1.24712
<i>Customer satisfaction</i> → <i>assurance</i> → <i>customer loyalty</i>	0.233	0.129	0.086	0.099	1.17427
<i>Customer satisfaction</i> → <i>empathy</i> → <i>customer loyalty</i>	0.269	0.129	0.118	0.099	1.13126
<i>Customer satisfaction</i> → <i>price</i> → <i>customer loyalty</i>	0.212	0.129	0.073	0.099	1.18884

3. CONCLUSION

The 19 (nineteen) hypotheses of the study are categorized into 6 (six) that are accepted and that are rejected. This division illustrates that the research hypotheses, grounded in relevant theory, receive complete empirical support. Additionally, the results underscore the endorsement of prior research regarding the examination of influences among variables. The 410 outcomes of this inquiry can be summarized as follows:

1. Tangibles has no positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
2. Reliability has no positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
3. Responsiveness has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
4. Assurance has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
5. Empathy has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
6. Prive has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
7. Customer satisfaction has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
8. Tangibles has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
9. Reliability has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
10. Responsiveness has a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
11. Assurance has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
12. Empathy has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
13. Price has a positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
14. Tangibles has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
15. Reliability has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
16. Responsiveness has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
17. Assurance has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
18. Empathy has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.
19. Price has no positive and significant effect on customer loyalty at INDOMARET in Bandung Raya.

415 6. SUGGESTION

416 Referring to the findings of this research, several suggestions are presented that can provide
417 benefits for Indomaret companies in Bandung Raya, the following is the explanation:

418 6.1 Scientific Implications

419
420 This research is different from previous researchers, namely the use of SERVQUAL
421 dimensions including: tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy. Several
422 other things that differ from previous research are different objects, characteristics and loci.
423 Where the object of this research is Indomaret with characteristics of respondents aged >17
424 years and a different locus, namely in Bandung Raya. Apart from that, the limitation of this
425 research is that the research location is only in Bandung Raya. For future researchers, the
426 researchers suggest using a different locus. It is hoped that future researchers will be able to
427 add more other variables or dimensions not examined in this study and doing things that have
428 an influence on customer loyalty.

429 6.2 Managerial Implications

430 The suggestion addressed to the Indomaret company is that it can use the results of this
431 research as a reference for decision making regarding how the company increases customer
432 loyalty. Based on the results of this research, customer satisfaction does not play a role in
433 mediating the SERVQUAL and price dimensions of customer loyalty. Researchers
434 recommend that managers continue to pay attention to the variables that influence customer
435 loyalty, even though in this research there were many variables that had no effect on customer
436 loyalty. The Indomaret company must pay attention to the responsiveness, assurance and
437 empathy of employees towards customers, so that they feel satisfied with the services
438 provided. The Indomaret company must pay attention to price levels compared to competitors,
439 suitability of prices to the benefits obtained by customers and affordable prices for all groups
440 so that customers are satisfied and loyal.

441

442

443

444 REFERENCES

445

- 446 1. Pps, J., Rizqiawan, H., & Prihantono, D. (2020). Analisis Kualitas Layanan Terhadap
447 Kepuasan Pelanggan: Perbandingan Pada Modern Retail Minimarket Dengan Toko
448 Kelontong Di Kota Surabaya. *Jurnal Manajerial Bisnis*, 3(3), 201–210.
449 <https://doi.org/10.37504/jmb.v3i3.256>.
- 450 2. Sunanto, S. (2012). Modern Retail Impact on Store Preference and Traditional Retailers in
451 West Java. *Asian Journal of Business Research*, 2(2).
452 <https://doi.org/10.14707/ajbr.120007>.
- 453 3. Suwarni, E., Lukitaningsih, A., & Fadhilah, M. (2022). Analisis Loyalitas Pelanggan Ditinjau
454 dari Nilai Pelanggan, Lokasi dan Persepsi Harga dengan Kepuasan Pelanggan sebagai
455 Variabel Intervening pada Pelanggan Indomaret di Yogyakarta. *Al-Kharaj : Jurnal Ekonomi,*
456 *Keuangan & Bisnis Syariah*, 5(2), 664–683. <https://doi.org/10.47467/alkharaj.v5i2.1259>.
- 457 4. Wong, A., & Sohal, A. (2003). Service quality and customer loyalty perspectives on two
458 levels of retail relationships. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 17(5), 495–513.
459 <https://doi.org/10.1108/08876040310486285>.
- 460 5. Herawaty, M. T., Aprillia, Rahman, A., Rohimah, L., Ivan Taruna, H., Styaningrum, E. D., &
461 Suleman, D. (2022). The Influence of Service Quality and Price on Alfamart Consumer
462 Loyalty with Customer Satisfaction As Mediation Variables. *International Journal of Social*

- 463 and Management Studies (Ijosmas) , 3(2), 246–257.
464 <https://doi.org/10.5555/ijosmas.v3i2.179>.
- 465 6. Sugiarto, B. U., & Subagio, H. (2014). Analisa pengaruh produk, kualitas pelayanan, harga,
466 dan store atmosphere terhadap minat beli di dream of khayangan art resto surabaya.
467 Jurnal Manajemen Pemasaran Petra, 2(1), 1–14.
468 <https://www.neliti.com/publications/140482/>.
- 469 7. Zhao, X., Bai, C., & Hui, Y. V. (2002). An empirical assessment and application of
470 SERVQUAL in a Mainland Chinese department store. Total Quality Management, 13(2),
471 241–254. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09544120120102478>.
- 472 8. To, W. M., Tam, J. F. Y., & Cheung, M. F. Y. (2013). Explore how Chinese consumers
473 evaluate retail service quality and satisfaction. Service Business, 7(1), 121–142.
474 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11628-012-0149-7>.
- 475 9. Nurhalimah, SITi, Leonardo Budi Hasiholan, dan C. H. (2018). Analisis Pengaruh Kualitas
476 Pelayanan, Harga Dan Lokasi Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan (Studi Pada Bengkel Garasi
477 Di Ungaran). Journal of Management, 4(4), 1–15.
478 <https://jurnal.unpand.ac.id/index.php/MS/article/view/1139>.
- 479 10. Bian, L. K., Haque, A., Wok, S., & Tarofder, A. K. (2019). The effect of customer
480 satisfaction on customer loyalty in the motor industry. Opcion, 35(Special Issue 21), 947–
481 963.
- 482 11. Irnawanto, R., & Ady, S. U. (2022). Analisis Pengaruh Kualitas Produk, Dan Kualitas
483 Pelayan Terhadap Loyalitas Pelanggan Dengan Kepuasan Pelanggan Sebagai
484 Intervening Pada Minimarket Alfamart Di Surabaya. Soetomo Business Review, 3(2), 300–
485 315. <https://doi.org/10.25139/sbr.v3i2.5377>.
- 486 12. Oktavianto, R. (2017). Pengaruh Citra Toko Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan dan Loyalitas
487 Pelanggan (Studi pada Ritel Toko Avia Malang). Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa FEB, 5(1), 1–
488 10. <https://jimfeb.ub.ac.id/index.php/jimfeb/article/view/3577>.
- 489 13. Wibowo, Setyo Ferry Sarih, Iin Pusfita Sarih Kresnamurti, A. (2014). Persepsi Nilai
490 Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan (Survei Pada Indomaret Palmerah). Jurnal Riset
491 Manajemen Sain Indonesia, 5(2), 304–324.
492 <https://journal.unj.ac.id/unj/index.php/jrmsi/article/view/816>.
- 493 14. Dimyati, M., & Afandi, M. F. (2018). Model Empiris Membangun Kesetiaan Pelanggan
494 Berbasis. 11(2), 311–328. <http://dx.doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v11i2.30481SSN>.
- 495 15. Tjahjaningsih, E. (2013). Pengaruh Citra Dan Promosi Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan
496 Serta Dampaknya Terhadap Loyalitas Pelanggan (Studi Pada Pelanggan Supermarket
497 Carrefour Di Semarang). Media Ekonomi Dan Manajemen, 28(2), 13–27.
498 <http://dx.doi.org/10.24856/mem.v28i2.207>.
- 499 16. Ilhamsyah., & Mulyani, A. (2018). Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan Dan Harga Terhadap
500 Kepuasan Serta Dampaknya Pada Loyalitas Konsumen Indomaret Bagus Kuning Plaju.
501 Jurnal Media Wahana Ekonomika, 14(4), 24–46.
502 <https://doi.org/10.31851/jmwe.v14i4.1989>.
- 503 17. Ulfan Ulfan, IBN Udayana, & Bernadetta Diansepti Maharani. (2022). Pengaruh Dimensi
504 Kualitas Pelayanan Ritel Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan Dan Loyalitas Pelanggan. Jurnal
505 Riset Rumpun Ilmu Ekonomi, 1(1), 52–61. <https://doi.org/10.55606/jurrie.v1i1.95>.
- 506 18. Amalia Yunia Rahmawati. (2020a). Pengaruh Dimensi Kualitas Pelayanan dan Kepuasan
507 Terhadap Loyalitas Pelanggan, Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis Sriwijaya Vol.9 No.171–23.
- 508 19. Martha. (2016). Examining The Effect Of Retail Service Quality Dimensions On Customer
509 Satisfaction And Loyalty. Core.Ac.Uk, March. <https://core.ac.uk/display/80819276>.
- 510 20. Beneke, J., Hayworth, C., Hobson, R., & Mia, Z. (2012). Examining the effect of retail
511 service quality dimensions on customer satisfaction and loyalty: The case of the
512 supermarket shopper. Acta Commercii, 12(1), 27–43. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ac.v12i1.129>.
- 513 21. Rosita, F., & Parozak, M. R. G. (2020). Jurnal ilmiah global education. Jurnal Ilmiah Global
514 Education, 1(1), 33–38.
515 <https://ejournal.nusantaraglobal.ac.id/index.php/jige/article/view/65/22>.

- 516 22. Marcell, & Adiwijaya, M. (2017). Pengaruh Dimensi Kualitas Layanan Terhadap Loyalitas
517 Pelanggan Di Auto Bridal Surabaya. Agora, 5(1), 1–8.
518 <https://www.neliti.com/id/publications/57443>.
- 519 23. Kurniawan, R., & Auva, M. A. (2022). Analisis Pengaruh Kepuasan, Kualitas layanan, Dan
520 Nilai Harga Terhadap Loyalitas Pelanggan Pada Restoran Seafood Di Kota Batam. Jesya,
521 5(2), 1479–1489. <https://doi.org/10.36778/jesya.v5i2.711>.
- 522 24. Nadira Ginanta, & Ngadino Surip. (2022). Analysis of the Influence of Service Quality
523 Factors, and Service Quality on Customer Loyalty with Satisfaction as an Intervening
524 Variable. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology, 343–352.
525 <https://doi.org/10.32628/ijsrst229439>.
- 526 25. Permatasari, A. I. (2022). Analysis of the Effect of Service Quality on Customer Loyalty
527 with Customer Satisfaction as a Mediator at Kos X in Sidoarjo. International Journal of
528 Review Management Business and Entrepreneurship (RMBE), 2(2), 1–13.
529 <https://doi.org/10.37715/rmbe.v2i2.3344>.
- 530 26. Candra, M., & Herudiansyah, G. (2019). Dimensi Kualitas Layanan dan Pengaruhnya
531 terhadap Loyalitas Mahasiswa dengan Tingkat Kepuasan sebagai Variabel Intervening.
532 Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis Krisnadwipayana, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.35137/jmbk.v7i2.254>.
- 533 27. Hakunta, T., & Sujianto, A. E. (2022). “Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan Islami, Kualitas
534 Produk, Harga, Dan Lokasi Terhadap Loyalitas Pelanggan Dengan Kepuasan Pelanggan
535 Sebagai Variabel Intervening Di Warung Kopi Tequila Tulungagung.” Jurnal Ilmiah
536 Hospitality, 11(2), 787–801. <http://stp-mataram.e-journal.id/JIH>.
- 537 28. Sekaran, U., & Roger Bougie. (2017). Metode Penelitian Untuk Bisnis (D. A. Halim & A.
538 N. Hanifah (eds.); 6th ed.). Salemba Empat.
- 539 29. Roscoe, J. T. (1975). Fundamental Research Statistic for Thr Behavioral.
- 540 30. Slack, N., Singh, G., & Sharma, S. (2020). The effect of supermarket service quality
541 dimensions and customer satisfaction on customer loyalty and disloyalty dimensions.
542 International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences, 12(3), 297–318.
543 <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQSS-10-2019-0114>.
- 544

545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568

569