

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_111301
Title of the Manuscript:	Sacubitril/Valsartan as a New Possible Therapeutic Alternative for the Treatment of Hypertension
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community because it discusses the possibility of certain drugs as therapy for certain diseases</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable but I suggest the title like this : Sacubitril/Valsartanas a New Possible Therapeutic Alternative for the Treatment of <b>Resistant</b> Hypertension</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article not comprehensive and has been revised in the manuscript</p> <p>4. Subsection and structure have been revised in the manuscript and after the introduction, please fill the title that will discussed for number 1 and number 2.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct based on literature review</p> <p>6. References are sufficient but reference number 5 has no year of publication then references number 9 and 13 are more than 10 years old</p> <p>This article provides new in sighs into treatment of hypertension , especially for resistant hypertension</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This article is useful</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Fanny Margaretha Laihad
Department, University & Country	Hang Tuah University, Indonesia