

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_111301
Title of the Manuscript:	Sacubitril/Valsartan as a New Possible Therapeutic Alternative for the Treatment of Hypertension
Type of the Article	Review article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1. The manuscript is important for scientific community because it discusses the possibility of certain drugs as therapy for certain diseases 2. The title of the article is suitable but I suggest the title like this : Sacubitril/Valsartanas a New Possible Therapeutic Alternative for the Treatment of Resistant Hypertension 3. The abstract of the article not comprehensive and has been revised in the manuscript 4. Subsection and structure have been revised in the manuscript and after the introduction, please fill the title that will discussed for number 1 and number 2. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct based on literature review 6. References are sufficient but reference number 5 has no year of publication then references number 9 and 13 are more than 10 years old  This article provides new in sighs into treatment of hypertension , especially for resistant hypertension	  1.Thank you for the review, I have accepted the suggested changes and highlighted the changes in the manuscript. 2. Would like to keep the title as for Hypertension only as most of the clinical trials and studies in this review article mentioned indicate the efficacy of Sacubitril/ Valsartan as possible therapeutic alternative for the management of Hypertension. Resistant Hypertension would narrow down the scope of article. 3. I have revised the abstract based on the comments suggested. 4. Subsection numbering has changed 6. Reference number 5. has a year of publication added.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  1. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	  This article is useful	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	