

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_111277
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Yield Response of Maize (Zea mays L.) to Integrated Nutrient Management of Biochar, Organic and Inorganic fertilizers
Type of the Article	. Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1.The scope of manuscript is scientific as it updates the knowledge of biochar and chicken manure application which can be fruitful in managing the agricultural practices for future endeavours. 2.Yes. 3.Yes, but it should be done in an aligned manner. 4. The Introduction portion needs to be written in a systematic manner to develop a good rapport between the paragraphs and the subjects. 5.Yes. 6.Yes, References are ok and sufficient.	 The reviewer's comment is correct. In addition, the manuscript also updates the knowledge on effective integration approach of biochar, chicken manure and inorganic fertilizer application that maximizes yield of maize as well as their impact on soil health as highlighted yellow in the last paragraph of the introduction The abstract has been aligned and corrections highlighted yellow The introduction portion has been written systematically and highlighted yellow in places where change of position of sentences is made.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1.OK	
Optional/General comments	Sentencing process is not in sequence, the same need to be address in a proper manner to convey suitable message to the audience so that they can be more enlighten by the manuscript.	Sentencing process in sequence have been rectified and highlighted yellow

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	