

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_111528</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PROLIFERATION OF AI TOOLS: A MULTIFACETED EVALUATION OF USER PERCEPTIONS AND EMERGING TREND</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Paper</b>

## Review Form 1.7

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>Rewrite the abstract from the beginnings by focus on the "idea, develop points in this method presented by authors" and compare the results of this manuscript with other papers as values.</p> <p>Sections and Subsections should be properly numbered in sequence.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need to update references with some latest works.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English proof reading should be done throughout the manuscript</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>1) Entire page alignment and formatting should be rechecked and correct it.</p> <p>2) Introduction section could be improved by clarifying the similarities and differences between the related work and the proposed method are not clearly described. It is recommended to add a separate subsection and clear description in this regard.</p> <p>3) Readership appeal is moderate and there is scope for improvement using more pictorial and graphical representations.</p> <p>4) logic of this paper should be further enhanced.</p> <p>5) Results and Discussions should be organized in proper way.</p> <p>6) Kindly include following works in your references and cite inside the manuscript.</p> <p>(i) Balasubramaniam, S., and V. Kavitha. "Hybrid Security Architecture for Personal Health Record Transactions in Cloud Computing." <i>Advances in Information Sciences and Service Sciences</i> 7, no. 1 (2015): 121.</p> <p>(ii) <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2022.103138">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2022.103138</a></p> <p>(iii) <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2022.104392">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2022.104392</a></p> <p>(iv) Balasubramaniam, S., and R. Bharathi. "Performance analysis of parallel FIR digital filter using VHDL." <i>International Journal of Computer Applications</i> 39, no. 9 (2012): 1-6.</p> <p>(v) <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2023.105446">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2023.105446</a></p> <p>7) All tables and figures should be properly numbered and give title .</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balasubramaniam S
Department, University & Country	School of Computer Science and Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology, India