

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_111473
Title of the Manuscript:	Corporate Employee Sharing Performance Leads to Sustainable Development - A Case Study of Software and Information Technology Services Industry of Listed Companies in China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>No, the abstract is not comprehensive (no result of either partially nor simultaneously for each variable).</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate</p> <p>I don’t think so</p> <p>The references are sufficient, and recent</p> <p>I guess the writer must give more explanation about theories for each variables with the latest references.</p>	<p>Thank you for your valuable feedback. The reason why each key variable was not mentioned in the paper is because insignificant variables are not mentioned in the paper. Section 2.3 provides additional explanation for the lack of literature and the deletion of the original [31] literature.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	<p>Thank you for your correction. I have made the grammar corrections.</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The writer must correct :</p> <p>1. The abstract</p> <p>2. Introduction must add with problem statements</p> <p>3.The literature review</p> <p>4.The research hypotheses vs the objectives</p> <p>5.There is no framework</p> <p>6.Grammar</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.	