

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_111473
Title of the Manuscript:	Corporate Employee Sharing Performance Leads to Sustainable Development - A Case Study of Software and Information Technology Services Industry of Listed Companies in China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Higher Employee Compensation Leads to Sustainable Development of the Organisation – Case study related to..... 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The Literature Review needs some reworking. It is not well connected with the study. Overall there is a lot of disconnection in the sentences (is the paper a translation) There is a need to improve the sentence framing and connect the overall explanation properly to the core element of the study Quantitative research is good Abstract and conclusion with suggestions is good. Introduction Literature Review is highly disconnected with the topic of study. Language of the content needs a lot of reframing Good Some references are irrelevant. References more connected to the topic of study are required	Thank you for your valuable comments. The reason for the lack of literature in the title section 2.3 of the literature review has been added, and the literature originally listed in [31] has been deleted.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	Thank you for your correction. I have made the grammar corrections.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.	