

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_110571
Title of the Manuscript:	Conflict Prevention in the Sub-regional Institutions: The Case of IGAD in Ethiopia from 2015-2020
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, this qualitative research on conflict in Ethiopia and an in-depth analysis of the various parameters is significant as it offers unique perspectives through strategic interpretations.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is well structured</p> <p>To the best of my knowledge, it find it correct</p> <p>Few in-text citations in the manuscripts are not mentioned in the reference section. The author must rectify it and certain places as marked in the manuscript need more context and reference.</p>	<p>Thank you for pointing this out. The manuscript citations that are not mentioned in the reference section have been corrected.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes, but in certain places (as marked in the manuscript) needs revision of the sentence structure, punctuations and alternating of words.</p>	<p>Revisions are made to the comments mentioned in the track changes in the manuscript.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is well researched, structured and written. However, it requires minor revisions in above mentioned areas.</p>	<p>The authors are grateful to the reviewer for her/his insightful comments on our manuscript. We have been able to incorporate changes to reflect most of the suggestions provided by the reviewer. Changes have been highlighted within the manuscript.</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	