

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_110117
Title of the Manuscript:	Estrogenic disruption by pesticides exposure associated with breast cancer: a systematic review focusing on epigenetic mechanisms
Type of the Article	Mini-Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Review article will give idea about further research in the field. Manuscript may be considered as mini-review.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract need some modifications.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Line 13-16 : Please re-write clearly and sequentially. Line 27 : You may mentioned latest relevant data of WHO (if available). Line 41 & 48 : Please add more data on pesticides with name and add references accordingly. Line 82 : Please specify number of research papers in relevance to Science Direct and PubMed separately. Line 98 : May add more about ER and their variant. Line 142 : Specify the concentration range. Note : You have used many abbreviations; make sure you spell it out fully in the text the first time it appears. Please crosscheck the references with text as Zou & Matsumura (2003)/ Lorenz et al (2019) marked red in manuscript are not mentioned in list of references. References should be as per journal guideline.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Manuscript may be considered after modification/corrections as mini-review.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Sharma
Department, University & Country	Central Forensic Science Laboratory, India