

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_110117
Title of the Manuscript:	Estrogenic disruption by pesticides exposure associated with breast cancer: a systematic review focusing on epigenetic mechanisms
Type of the Article	Mini-Review

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Review article will give idea about further research in the field. Manuscript may be considered as mini-review. Yes Abstract need some modifications. Yes Yes Yes Line 13-16 : Please re-write clearly and sequentially. Line 27 : You may mentioned latest relevant data of WHO (if available). Line 41 & 48 : Please add more data on pesticides with name and add references accordingly. Line 82 : Please specify number of research papers in relevance to Science Direct and PubMed separately. Line 98 : May add more about ER and their variant. Line 142 : Specify the concentration range. Note : You have used many abbreviations; make sure you spell it out fully in the text the first time it appears. Please crosscheck the references with text as Zou & Matsumura (2003)/ Lorenz et al (2019) marked red in manuscript are not mentioned in list of references. References should be as per journal guideline.	We agree with reviewer and the suggestions was made according to what was possible.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript may be considered after modification/corrections as mini-review.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	