

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_111249
Title of the Manuscript:	STATUS AND CHALLENGES FACING PINEAPPLE (Ananas comosus) PRODUCTION IN MAGARINI SUB-COUNTY OF KILIFI COUNTY IN COASTAL KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Work is focused in evaluating the current situation of pineapple cultivation in Magarini sub-county and informing the type of intervention strategies to be used. 2. The title expresses the situation under study. 3. It is necessary to mention the representativeness of the sample. 4. The work has an adequate structure. 5. It is recommended to specify the validity and reliability of the information collection instruments. 6. References are adjusted to the context of the research.	Representativeness of the sample: the 10% sample of the 600 farmers was representative of the pineapple growing CBOs and they were for each six groups randomly selected. Thus in every group of 100 farmers, ten of them were randomly selected using random numbers.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, acceptable.	
Optional/General comments	Discuss the results in the context of the dimensions of the variables under study.	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	