

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_111391
Title of the Manuscript:	A Critical Appraisal of Clinical Practice Guidelines for Pharmacological Treatments of Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1. In this research study, using clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria condition. Yes I'll appreciate the manuscript work for the community purposes.  2. Yes the manuscript title is suitable and well summarized.  3. The abstract included all key point, introduction, aim, methodology, results and conclusion everything. It's is totally comprehensive from my side.  4. Yes from the reviewer side the manuscript have subsection and totally appropriate formatting during writing of manuscript. 5. This study based on the clinical practice guidelines and treatment, that's why manuscript is scientifically correct.  6. Although number of references is enough and update. Some of them too much old year (Ref. 15, 2009). The references not well written as per the guidelines of journal. Kindly follow that.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. The numbering of references need some changes, author check the ref. in introduction part (author write firstly ref. 3 after that ref. 2) Correct the pattern. 2. Write discussion of study design in the introduction section. 3. Same references written in Figure 1 and Table 1, check this correction. 4. Explain the optimum conditions you find in this study of treatment. 5. Short note on the clinical practice guidelines in the introduction part. 6. Some grammar mistakes found at the abstract section. 7. The main conclusion of the study, Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria, Kindly write in the conclusion portion.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Pal
Department, University & Country	NIMS Institute of Pharmacy, NIMS University, India