

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_110592
Title of the Manuscript:	Campylobacter species: New insight, clinical diagnosis and laboratory approach in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. This is an interesting study and I think that the data are informative about the Campylobacter spp. The relatively small data collected in writing this manuscript (for this kind of data) is a weak point. I have some concerns and suggestions for each aspect of the manuscript. 2. Title: not attractive and need more details 3. The abstract is not comprehensive. 4. To somewhat 5. Yes 6. There is shortage in references (number and updates) The writing could be more condensed and at times may benefit from a check by a native speaker. -all manuscript's figures need to add legends and comments under the photos The references need to be updated and increased them	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To somewhat ..needs more revisions	
Optional/General comments	While the authors emphasized the importance of development, the study does not include longitudinal data, which limits the findings.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanan Saad Khalefa Hassan
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt