

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111599
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate-Resilient Crops for a Sustainable Future: The Promising Case of Minor Millets in Tamil Nadu Irrigated Modernization Project (TNIAMP)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community  The research found that minor millets often have a lower cost of cultivation due to factors like requiring less water and inputs. considering the advantages of minor millets in terms of profitability, climate resilience, and environmental sustainability, they are certainly worth exploring as a viable and beneficial option for many farmers. This leads to a higher net income for farmers per unit invested.  2. The title of the article is suitable.  3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.  4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.  5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.  6. The references are sufficient and recent  Should add some more references	Yes
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	