

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110432
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Nutritional Status of Almajirai Children in Zamfara State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community as it has investigated the nutritional status of almajiri children in Zamfara State which has not been addressed in the earlier research studies.</p> <p>Title of the manuscript can be modified by including the major key variables</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Two research questions put forward by the researchers are not presented in the manuscript: i) Assessment of socio-demographic characteristics of almajirai children in Zamfara State ii) Factors associated with nutritional status of almajiri children in Zamfara State</p> <p>Hypothesis which is tested as described by the authors in the result section is different from that the earlier stated hypothesis (Ho: There is no statistical difference between almajiri children suffering from stunted growth, underweight and wastage in Zamfara State).</p> <p>Tested hypothesis as per Table 3 shows difference across the different states and table appears to be incomplete. Table 1 also is incomplete and the data depicted is vague. (height in cm is only 1.2cm, 10.9cm, 11.1cm etc)</p> <p>References included are recent ones, but all references to be numbered</p> <p>Additional observations Statistical interpretation of tables appears to be difficult. Tables should include necessary variable characteristics, N, & foot notes. Report has not included the Consent obtained from study subjects. Discussion section is not adequate as the results are not compared with previous similar researches</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality of English Language need to be improved (Sampling technique is described in future tense, use of pronouns such as “they”)	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript can be more comprehensive including all research questions. Tables should be complete.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usha M Thomas
Department, University & Country	JSS College of Nursing, India