

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110432
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Nutritional Status of Almajirai Children in Zamfara State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community as it has investigated the nutritional status of almajiri children in Zamfara State which has not been addressed in the earlier research studies.</p> <p>Title of the manuscript can be modified by including the major key variables</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Two research questions put forward by the researchers are not presented in the manuscript: i) Assessment of socio-demographic characteristics of almajirai children in Zamfara State ii) Factors associated with nutritional status of almajiri children in Zamfara State</p> <p>Hypothesis which is tested as described by the authors in the result section is different from that the earlier stated hypothesis (Ho: There is no statistical difference between almajiri children suffering from stunted growth, underweight and wastage in Zamfara State).</p> <p>Tested hypothesis as per Table 3 shows difference across the different states and table appears to be incomplete. Table 1 also is incomplete and the data depicted is vague. (height in cm is only 1.2cm, 10.9cm, 11.1cm etc)</p> <p>References included are recent ones, but all references to be numbered</p> <p>Additional observations Statistical interpretation of tables appears to be difficult. Tables should include necessary variable characteristics, N, & foot notes. Report has not included the Consent obtained from study subjects. Discussion section is not adequate as the results are not compared with previous similar researches</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>Done revision</p> <p>Amended revision</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Quality of English Language need to be improved (Sampling technique is described in future tense, use of pronouns such as “they”)</p>	<p>Corrected</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Manuscript can be more comprehensive including all research questions. Tables should be complete.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	