## **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_110113
Title of the Manuscript:	GENDER AND CLIMATE CHANGE: THE ROLE OF WOMEN IN CLIMATE CHANGE PROCESSES
Type of the Article	

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<ol> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?         (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable?         (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> <li>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Yes, women and girls are at the heart of climate action. This review will give a fair enough idea to understand the scenario of gender biasing events in different sectors, their causes and outcomes. Clean technology. The role of women and their passive participation has been well written scientifically in the field of climate change, health, policy making, agriculture etc.</li> <li>Yes, title is suitable.</li> <li>Yes, abstract of the article is comprehensive</li> <li>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>Yes, the references are sufficient and also cover all aspects regarding the time framing and significance of subsections.</li> <li>* The gender biasing in agricultural labour and wage system may be included.</li> <li>*There are some direct concluding statement have been written. It will be better if some reference can be added with them for more acceptability for readers.</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is well written and understandable.	
Optional/General comments		

### PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

### **Review Form 1.7**

# Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subhadeep Karak
Department, University & Country	Indian Space Research Organization, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)