

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_110113
Title of the Manuscript:	GENDER AND CLIMATE CHANGE: THE ROLE OF WOMEN IN CLIMATE CHANGE PROCESSES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community as it offers clarity on the importance of considering gender as factor that drives climate change decision-making. This manuscript highlights the importance of women as a minority group in the field of climate change. It assesses the impact of women across different areas of consideration such as Climate Smart Agriculture, Climate Change Negotiation and Activism. 2. The title of the article is fairly suitable. 3. The abstract is comprehensive; however, it is not written in scholarly language and reads more like an editorial or online article. 4. The subsections of the manuscript are mostly appropriate, but some sections need to be refined to stay on topic. The structure is acceptable, but some sections may benefit with the addition of information such as statistics or the omission of information for clarity. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, save for a few sentences that are opinions and not strictly factual such as "...they [women] should be given credit..." in 3.0. 6. The references are not sufficient. There are numerous instances where statements are not referenced and the entirety of 3.5 is not referenced at all. The references are also not recent, there are only about 10 references that were published within the last 5 years and a significant number of references are more than 10 years old. Climate change and gender are topics that are current, and the manuscript would greatly benefit with pulling from more contemporary sources. 	<p>Noted</p> <p>Revision made</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Corrected</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language quality is not suitable for scholarly communication and needs to be adjusted accordingly before publishing. 	<p>Noted</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Consider including a section that speaks to intersectionality- the overlap of minority or vulnerable groups such as women who are poor, women of colour, women in SIDS, transgender women, queer women. This provides research that is incredibly relevant to the current state of climate change discussions and a just transition to climate action.</p> <p>Additionally, the use of the word gender does not only speak to male and female as gender also encompasses intersex persons, transgender persons, nonbinary persons and people who otherwise fall outside of the gender binary. Consider adding a section that encompasses this, or consider refining the title to Feminism and Climate Change or Women and Climate Change.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	