

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110429
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Transformation and Corruption Dynamics in Ghana's Retail Economy: An Economic Analysis of Technological Adoption and Ethical Business Practices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The manuscript is important to the scientific community The title of the article is suitable The abstract of the article is comprehensive The structure of the article is appropriate The manuscript is scientifically correct The references are sufficient and recent	Noted
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is suitable	Noted
Optional/General comments	The researchers should input "should" in between areas and encompass under abstract The researchers should input "two" in between section and providing under introduction in the last paragraph The researchers should expunge with and input which under conceptual and theoretical review in the second paragraph The researchers should provide the full meaning of CRM The researchers should provide the initiators of the respective four theories. Also, of the four, which will they adopt for this research work? The researchers should rework the empirical review to reflect the real studies executed by prior researchers 33 or 35? Need to be precise of the sample size versus the frequency The conclusion should be brief, concise and devoid of repetition. Need for the researchers to rework The capitalization of references title is not in conformity to the APA style. Need for the researchers to rework	Noted Noted Noted Noted

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	