

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_111396
Title of the Manuscript:	Saudi's Awareness Regarding Diclofenac As An Over-The-Counter Analgesic In Northern Border Region -Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript is very much essential for the community because it stresses the importance of over-the-counter abuse of diclofenac and its adverse effects in the study area.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1) Title contains place name twice, better to avoid it. can reframe the title with participants (involved in the study) 2) In secondary objective, replace “dosage form” with “drug form” 3) In 3.2. sampling and sample size – sample size calculation with its reference should be mentioned in detail & explain in detail regarding sampling technique and how its applied in this study. 4) Exclusion criteria to be more specific (not just mentioning the exact opposite of inclusion criteria) 5) In results 4.1. and figure 1 percentage is different pertaining to age (in years), correct it. 6) In table 2.- Preferred dosage form of diclofenac and dosage of diclofenac frequently used – the percentage should be calculated for 100 % (overall)- correct it. 7) Conflict of interest not mentioned (mention as either yes / no) 8) Regarding Ethical approval not mentioned – mention the institution for ethical committee along with ethical approval number) 9) Details regarding source of funding not mentioned – (mention either self / any source) 10) References have to be within 10 years. Few references dated back in 1998, 2001 and so on. if possible, try to revise.</p>	Will do all the needed corrections
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Do make changes accordingly and submit to journal	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	