

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_109998
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytonutrient Screening and In Vitro Antibacterial and Antifungal Properties of Polar and Nonpolar Extracts of Albizia gummifera, Prunus africana, and Combretum molle from Mount Elgon Region, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Comments appreciated
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes After thorough observation of the submitted manuscript, it was found that the present research work has its own scope in a particular field of study. However, few minor changes are required to fit into the journal standard guidelines. These are mentioned below in a point wise manner. 1. Include et al., in all the places (references) within the manuscript. 2. Mention the collection date & season of the selected plant species under plant material section. 3. Under Plant material section: Provide the voucher sample nos. for all the plant species. 4. Cite Bizal rai et al 2020 & Prabal Sharma et al., 2022 under antimicrobial bioassay section. 5. Arrange the discussion in one heading, no need of different/separate heading for the discussion.	1. To our best knowledge, used "et al.," where appropriate within the manuscript. Where not mentioned, the author was either alone, or in duo whereby the word "and" was used for the in-text citation Remarks were corrected in the manuscript
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	