

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_109998
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytonutrient Screening and In Vitro Antibacterial and Antifungal Properties of Polar and Nonpolar Extracts of Albizia gummifera, Prunus africana, and Combretum molle from Mount Elgon Region, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes yes yes few modifications needed yes	Comments appreciated
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
Optional/General comments	The concentration of extract used seems to be too high i.e. 500 mg/ml to 3.91 mg/ml. Generally the concentration for finding out activity is in micro grams. In what concentration use of ciprofloxacin and Fluconazole is done is not clear.	The use of high concentrations of plant extracts in this research was one way to increase the antimicrobial potential of these extracts and to anticipate an eventual developed resistance in the microorganisms. The choice of concentration was based on a similar study by Atef <i>et al.</i> , (2019) on the antimicrobial activity of some plant extracts against bacterial strains causing wound infection. Ciprofloxacin (30 mcg) and fluconazole (25 mcg) served as positive controls for bacteria and the fungus respectively because they are well-characterized antimicrobials that have been extensively studied and are known to be effective against many different types of microbes. We included these details in the manuscript. Thanks for the remarks

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	