

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111359
Title of the Manuscript:	Residual effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth indices of chickpea under soybean-chickpea system in vertisol of central India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>1. The manuscript is very important for scientific community as the combined application of farm yard manure with micronutrient provides essential nutrients to the crop, which could be considered as an alternate way of enhancing crop growth and sustaining crop productivity.</p> <p>2. The title of the article seems not okay to me. The author did not mentioned about the application of essential macro nutrient like Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P) and Potassium (K) in the materials and methods. I think the alternative title might be "Residual effect of the organic fertilizer and micronutrient management on the growth indices of chickpea under soybean-chickpea system in Vertisol of central India".</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article has been written well with full information about the research findings.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Some recent references should be used in the manuscript.</p> <p><b>Please follow sample style for writing references in the text and reference sections</b></p>	<b>All the corrections incorporated in the manuscript as suggested by reviewer.</b>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The quality of the English language of the article is good and suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript can be published after minor corrections as I mentioned above.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	