

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Soil Research Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ASRJ_110888 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Tillage effects on soil moisture and sulfur use efficiency by sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) on Vertisol of north Ethiopia |
| Type of the Article | Opinion Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | 1. Yes, it is important to discuss the impact of tillage over soil moisture and soil fertility status. Tillage system significantly influence the soil physicochemical and biological properties and resultantly crop production. Therefore, it is very important to study such research for better recommendation. 2. Title fulfilling the requirement of work. 3. Yes, Abstract is fine, I have suggested in the track change, look at the main file. 4. Some headings are in “capital each word and some are in sentence format”. Check them and fix in the same format. Several grammar mistakes and 5. Yes, it is, I have some inquires in methodology, results and discussion. Author needs to answer them. I would suggest, result and discussion should be same heading as there are only few results. Discussion of your results with the background of literature will improve the structure and fluency and worth of the work. 6. References are very old; author need to cite recent work. Planty of work in this topic is available. 7. References are out of style. Author needs to maintain the same style for all the references. | 1. Thank you. 2. Thank you. 3. Ok thank you I have seen it and corrected it. 4. Thank you for the comment I have corrected it. 5. Ok thank you for the comment and suggestion, but since the other reviewers did not suggest me to merge the results and discussion, I leave merging result and discussion to save time and to make the publication fast. 6. Ok I will search and include recent publications 7. Ok I have corrected already |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Significant improvement is needed. I would suggest to author, paper should be review by a native English speak to produce best quality of the paper. | Ok thank you, I corrected the grammar and some words using grammar checker. |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |