Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_110884
Title of the Manuscript:	Tillage and crop residue effects on soil carbon and moisture for wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) productivity in semiarid of Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the
		manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	1.Reduced tillage combined with the retention of crop residue on the soil surface can help conserve soil organic carbon, improve soil structure, and enhance moisture retention. These help to improve soil health and increased agricultural sustainability in farming systems.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. Yes, it is suitable and correctly depicts the content.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	3. Yes it is briefly implied the entire content and also comprehensive.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	3. Tes it is briefly implied the entire content and also comprehensive.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	4.Yes, they are appropriate	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	5. Yes the manuscript are scientifically correct	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	6. There is a huge lacking in references. It just seems like that copy and paste from different sources. Even the reference format, style and also font have also not been changed. This is the biggest lacking. Otherwise references are sufficient and up to date.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language is well written, technically specific and understandable.	
Optional/General comments		
	NA	

PART 2:

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subhadeep Karak
Department, University & Country	Indian Space Research Organization, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)